Level raising and symmetric power functoriality, I

Laurent Clozel and Jack A. Thorne*

February 15, 2013

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Automorphic forms **2**
2.1 GL_n . . . 2
2.2 Ordinary forms . . . 4
2.3 Soluble base change for GL_n . . . 6
2.4 Definite unitary groups . . . 7

3 Congruences and functoriality **9**
3.1 Level raising . . . 9
3.2 Automorphic tensor product . . . 9
3.3 Automorphic symmetric power . . . 10
3.4 Main theorem . . . 10
3.5 Lemmas about ordinariness . . . 11

4 Construction of a special automorphic representation **11**

5 Proof of Theorem 3.4 **13**

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to shed new light on functoriality for regular, algebraic automorphic representations over CM fields which satisfy a self-duality condition. We formulate three conjectures. The first, conjecture 3.1 below, asserts that one can find congruences between algebraic modular forms on unitary groups of a certain type. This is a natural generalization of several results going back to a theorem of Ribet (see [Rib84]) concerning elliptic modular forms, and is closely related to the conjectural 'Ihara's lemma' of [CHT08].

The other two conjectures are specific instances of Langlands' functoriality, essentially the tensor product $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_n \to \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ and the symmetric power $\mathrm{GL}_2 \to \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ for the automorphic repesentations under consideration here; see conjecture 3.2 and conjecture 3.3, respectively. Our main theorem (see Theorem 3.4 below) gives a specific relation between this family of conjectures. As a particular application, we can prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Let π be a regular algebraic automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, which is not automorphically induced from a quadratic extension. Then (cf. §3.4 below):*

*Laurent Clozel is a member of Institut Universitaire de France. During the period this research was conducted, Jack Thorne served as a Clay Research Fellow.

1. *Assume conjecture 3.1 below. Then for each odd integer $1 \leq n \leq 25$, the n^{th} symmetric power lifting of π exists, as an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.*

2. *Assume conjectures 3.1 and 3.2 below. Then for each integer $n \geq 1$, the n^{th} symmetric power lifting of π exists, as an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.*

We refer the reader to §3 for a detailed description of our results. We begin in §2 by recalling some background material on automorphic representations and their attached Galois representations. The proof of Theorem 3.4 occupies §§4 – 5.

In a sequel to this paper [CT], we will prove some cases of level-raising, closely related to conjecture 3.1 below, and apply this to the automorphy of symmetric powers, following the program outlined here.

2 Automorphic forms

2.1 GL_n

Let p be a prime, and let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let Ω denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. There is a bijection

$$\mathrm{rec}_K : \mathrm{Adm}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{GL}_n(K) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{WD}^n_{\mathbb{C}} W_K,$$

characterized by a certain equality of epsilon- and L-factors on either side, cf. [HT01], [Hen02]. Here we write $\mathrm{Adm}_{\Omega} \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible representations of this group over Ω, and $\mathrm{WD}^n_{\Omega} W_K$ for the set of Frobenius-semisimple Weil-Deligne representations (r, N) of W_K valued in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\Omega)$. We define $\mathrm{rec}^T_K(\pi) = \mathrm{rec}_K(\pi | \cdot |^{(1-n)/2})$. This is the normalization of the local Langlands correspondence with good rationality properties; in particular, for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ and any $\pi \in \mathrm{Adm}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{rec}^T_K({}^{\sigma}\pi) \cong {}^{\sigma} \mathrm{rec}^T_K(\pi).$$

This can be seen using, for example, the characterization of rec_K and the description given in [Tat79, §3] of the action of Galois on local ϵ- and L-factors. It follows that for any Ω we can define a canonical bijection

$$\mathrm{rec}^T_K : \mathrm{Adm}_{\Omega} \mathrm{GL}_n(K) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{WD}^n_{\Omega} W_K.$$

Suppose instead that K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{R}$. Then there is a bijection (Langlands' normalization):

$$\mathrm{rec}_K : \mathrm{Adm}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{GL}_n(K) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Rep}^n_{\mathbb{C}} W_K.$$

Here we write $\mathrm{Adm}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for the set of infinitesimal equivalence classes of irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ and $\mathrm{Rep}^n_{\mathbb{C}} W_K$ for the set of continuous semisimple representations of W_K into $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. We define $\mathrm{rec}^T_K(\pi) = \mathrm{rec}_K(\pi | \cdot |^{(1-n)/2})$.

Now suppose that E be an imaginary CM field with totally real subfield F, and let $c \in \mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ denote the non-trivial element.

Definition 2.1. 1. *We say that an automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ is RACSDC (regular algebraic, conjugate self-dual, cuspidal) if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- *It is conjugate self-dual: $\pi^c \cong \pi^{\vee}$.*
- *It is cuspidal.*
- *It is regular algebraic. By definition, this means that for each place $v|\infty$ of E, the representation $\mathrm{rec}^T_{E_v}(\pi_v)$ is a direct sum of pairwise distinct algebraic characters.*

2. *We say that a pair (π, χ) of an automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and a character $\chi : F^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is RAECSDC (regular algebraic, essentially conjugate self-dual, cuspidal) if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- *It is essentially conjugate self-dual:* $\pi^c \cong \pi^\vee \otimes \chi \circ \mathbb{N}_{E/F}$.
- π *is cuspidal.*
- π *is regular algebraic.*
- χ *is an algebraic character such that* $\chi_v(-1) = (-1)^n$ *for each place* $v|\infty$.

3. *We say that an pair* (π, χ) *of an automorphic representation* π *of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ *and a character* $\chi : F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ *is RAESDC (regular algebraic, essentially self-dual, cuspidal) if it satisfies the following conditions:*
   - *It is essentially self-dual:* $\pi \cong \pi^\vee \otimes \chi$.
   - π *is cuspidal.*
   - π *is regular algebraic. By definition, this means that for each place* $v|\infty$*, the representation* $\mathrm{rec}_{E_v}^T(\pi_v)|_{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ *is a direct sum of pairwise distinct algebraic characters.*
   - χ *is an algebraic character such that* $\chi_v(-1)$ *is independent of the place* $v|\infty$.

If π is a regular algebraic automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, then for each embedding $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we are given a representation $r_\tau : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, induced by $\mathrm{rec}_{E_v}(\pi_v)$, where v is the infinite place induced by τ, and the isomorphism $E_v^\times \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$ induced by τ. This representation has the form

$$r_\tau(z) = ((z/\overline{z})^{a_{\tau,1}}, \dots, (z/\overline{z})^{a_{\tau,n}}),$$

where $a_{\tau,i} \in (n-1)/2 + \mathbb{Z}$. We will refer to the tuple $\mathbf{a} = (a_{\tau,1}, \dots, a_{\tau,n})_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})}$, where for each τ we have $a_{\tau,1} > a_{\tau,2} > \cdots > a_{\tau,n}$, as the infinity type of π. We also define a tuple $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})} = (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \dots, \lambda_{\tau,n})_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})}$, which we call the weight of π, by the formula $\lambda_{\tau,i} = -a_{\tau,n+1-i} + (n-1)/2 - (n-i)$. Then for each $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have $\lambda_{\tau,1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\tau,n}$, and the irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to r_τ has the same infinitesimal character as the dual of the algebraic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with highest weight λ_τ. If π is a regular algebraic automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$, then for each embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we get a representation $r_\tau = \mathrm{rec}_{F_v}(\pi_v)|_{\mathbb{C}^\times}$, where v is the place of F corresponding to τ. In this case we use the same formulae to define the infinity type and the weight of the pair π.

We will also have cause to consider representations which are not cuspidal. Suppose that σ_1, σ_2 are conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{A}_E), \mathrm{GL}_{n_2}(\mathbb{A}_E)$, respectively, and that $\Sigma = \sigma_1 \boxplus \sigma_2$ is regular algebraic. Then the representations $\sigma_i |\cdot|^{(n_i - n)/2}$ are regular algebraic. We call a representation Σ arising in this way a RACSD sum of cuspidal representations. In this case, define $\mathbf{a}^i = (a_\tau^i)_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})}$ by the requirement that $(a_{\tau,1}^i + (n_i - n)/2, \dots, a_{\tau,n_i}^i + (n_i - n)/2)$ equal the infinity type of $\sigma_i |\cdot|^{(n_i-n)/2}$, and define $\mathbf{b} = (b_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})}$ by the formula

$$(b_{\tau,1}, \dots, b_{\tau,n}) = (a_{\tau,1}^1, \dots, a_{\tau,n_1}^1, a_{\tau,1}^2, \dots, a_{\tau,n_2}^2).$$

Then there is a unique tuple $\mathbf{w} = (w_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})} \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})}$ such that for each $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})$, the infinity type of Σ is $(b_{\tau,w_\tau(1)}, \dots, b_{\tau,w_\tau(n)})_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})}$. Here $\mathfrak{S}_n$ denotes the symmetric group on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Theorem 2.2. *1. Let* π *be a RACSD sum of cuspidals or a RAECSDC automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$*, and fix an isomorphism* $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$*. Then there exists a continuous semisimple representation*

$$r_\iota(\pi) : G_E \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

satisfying the following property: for every finite place v *of* E *not dividing* l*, there is an isomorphism*

$$WD(r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_{E_v}})^{F\text{-}ss} \cong \mathrm{rec}_{E_v}^T(\iota^{-1}\pi_v).$$

For each place v *of* E *dividing* l*,* $r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_{E_v}}$ *is de Rham, and if* $\tau : E_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ *is an embedding then the Hodge Tate weights with respect to this embedding are*

$$\mathrm{HT}_\tau(r_\iota(\pi)) = \{-a_{\iota^{-1}\tau,1} + (n-1)/2, \dots, -a_{\iota^{-1}\tau,n} + (n-1)/2\}.$$

2. *Let (π, χ) be a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and fix an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a continuous semisimple representation*

$$r_\iota(\pi) : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

satisfying the following property: for every finite place v of F not dividing l, there is an isomorphism

$$WD(r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_{E_v}})^{F\text{-}ss} \cong \mathrm{rec}^T_{F_v}(\iota^{-1}\pi_v).$$

For each place v of F dividing l, $r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_{F_v}}$ is de Rham, and if $\tau : F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ is an embedding then the Hodge Tate weights with respect to this embedding are

$$\mathrm{HT}_\tau(r_\iota(\pi)) = \{-a_{\iota^{-1}\tau,1} + (n-1)/2, \dots, -a_{\iota^{-1}\tau,n} + (n-1)/2\}.$$

Proof. This theorem is due to many people. We give references for the case of a RACSDC automorphic representation π, from which the others can be deduced. In this case the existence of the representation $r_\iota(\pi)$ is proved in [CH, Theorem 3.2.3]. The strong form of local-global compatibility is proved in [Car12]. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let π be one of the above types of automorphic representations, and fix an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$. Let σ be a continuous automorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Then ${}^{\iota\sigma\iota^{-1}}\pi$ is defined, by [Clo90, Theorem 3.13]. There are isomorphisms*

$$r_\iota({}^{\iota\sigma\iota^{-1}}\pi) \cong r_{\iota\sigma}(\pi) \cong {}^{\sigma}r_\iota(\pi).$$

Proof. This follows from local-global compatibility, the rationality of the local Langlands correspondence for GL_n, and the Chebotarev density theorem. □

We will use the following convention for residual representations. If L is a number field and $\rho : G_L \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is a continuous representation, then after choosing an invariant lattice, defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_l$, we obtain by reduction modulo l a residual representation valued in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$. By the principle of Brauer-Nesbitt, the semisimplification of this representation depends, up to isomorphism, only on ρ, and will be denoted $\overline{\rho} : G_L \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$.

2.2 Ordinary forms

We recall that deformation theory in the context of ordinary, conjugate self-dual automorphic representations has been studied by Geraghty [Ger]. Let $L = E$ or F. If π is a regular algebraic automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_L)$ of infinity type $\mathbf{a}$ and weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, we define Hecke operators $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ as follows at primes v above l. They depend on a choice of isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$, which we fix for the rest of this section, as well as a choice of uniformizer ϖ_v of $\mathcal{O}_{L_v}$. Define a matrix

$$\alpha^j_v = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{\varpi_v, \dots, \varpi_v}_{j}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-j})$$

and set

$$U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v} = \prod_\tau \iota^{-1}\tau(\varpi_v)^{-\lambda_{\tau,n}-\dots-\lambda_{\tau,n+1-j}} \left[\mathrm{Iw}_c(v)\alpha^j_v \mathrm{Iw}_c(v)\right].$$

By definition, the subgroup $\mathrm{Iw}_c(v) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{L_v})$ is the subgroup of matrices whose reduction modulo ϖ_v^c is an upper-triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal, and the product runs over embeddings $\tau : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\iota^{-1}\tau$ induces the place v of L. We note that by [Ger, Lemma 2.3.3], the Hecke operators $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ commute with the inclusions $\iota^{-1}\pi_v^{\mathrm{Iw}_c(v)} \to \iota^{-1}\pi_v^{\mathrm{Iw}_{c'}(v)}$ when $c' \geq c$. It therefore makes sense to omit c from the notation defining $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$. We also write $T_c(v) \subset \mathrm{Iw}_c(v)$ for the group of diagonal matrices with integral entries which are congruent to 1 modulo ϖ_v^c, e_v for the absolute ramification index of $[L_v : \mathbb{Q}_l]$, f_v for the absolute residue degree, and $\mathrm{val} : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times \to \mathbb{Q}$ for the valuation such that $\mathrm{val}(l) = 1$.

Definition 2.4. *Let π be a regular algebraic automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_L)$ of weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. We say that π is ι-ordinary if for each place v of L dividing l, there is an integer $c \geq 1$ and a line inside $\iota^{-1}\pi_v^{\mathrm{Iw}_c(v)}$ which is invariant under each operator $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$, and such that the eigenvalues of these operators on this line are all l-adic units.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let π be a regular algebraic automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_L)$, and let v be a place of L dividing l. Then:*

1. *If $L = E$ and π is RACSDC, then the eigenvalues of $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ on $\iota^{-1}\pi_v^{\mathrm{Iw}_c(v)}$ are integral.*
2. *Let $\pi_{v,N}$ denote the normalized Jacquet module with respect to the standard Borel subgroup, and suppose that $\pi_{v,N}^{T_c(v)} \neq 0$. Then $\iota^{-1}\pi_v$ is a subquotient of a representation $\sigma = \text{n-Ind}_B^{\mathrm{GL}_n} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n$, for some characters $\alpha_i : L_v^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$ such that $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_1(\varpi_v)) \leq \mathrm{val}(\alpha_2(\varpi_v)) \leq \cdots \leq \mathrm{val}(\alpha_n(\varpi_v))$. If π is ι-ordinary, then $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_1(\varpi_v)) < \cdots < \mathrm{val}(\alpha_n(\varpi_v))$ and $\iota^{-1}\pi_v$ is the unique generic subquotient of σ.*

   If $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_1(\varpi_v)) < \cdots < \mathrm{val}(\alpha_n(\varpi_v))$ and π_v is generic, let $u^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ denote an eigenvalue of $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ with smallest valuation. Then $u^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v} \neq 0$ is unique and there is a unique line inside $\iota^{-1}\pi_v^{\mathrm{Iw}_c(v)}$ where $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ acts with eigenvalue $u^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Finally we have

$$\mathrm{val}(\alpha_j(\varpi_v)) = \mathrm{val}(u^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}/u^{j-1}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) - 1/e_v \sum_\tau a_{\tau,j},$$

   the sum being over embeddings $\tau : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\iota^{-1}\tau$ induces the place v of L.

Proof. The first part follows from Proposition 2.9 below, Theorem 2.7, and the corresponding fact for automorphic representations of the definite unitary group considered in §2.4 below. For the second part, we note as in the proof of [Ger, Lemma 5.1.3] that there is for any admissible representation σ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L_v)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ a surjection $p_\sigma : \sigma^{\mathrm{Iw}_c(v)} \to \sigma_N^{T_c(v)}$, where σ_N denotes the normalized Jacquet module. The kernel of this map is given by the subspace where some operator $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ does not act invertibly, and we have the formula for all $x \in \sigma^{\mathrm{Iw}_c(v)}$:

$$p_\sigma(U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v} x) = q_v^{\sum_{i=1}^j (n-1)/2-(i-1)} \prod_\tau \iota^{-1}\tau(\varpi_v)^{-\sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_{\tau,n+1-i}} p_\sigma(x).$$

In particular, if $\pi_{v,N}^{T_c(v)} \neq 0$, as in the statement of the lemma, then by Frobenius reciprocity $\iota^{-1}\pi_v$ is a subquotient of the representation $\sigma = \text{n-Ind}_B^{\mathrm{GL}_n} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n$, and $\sigma_N^{\mathrm{ss}} = \oplus_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha_{w(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{w(n)}$. We may decompose $\iota^{-1}\pi_v^{\mathrm{Iw}_c(v)}$ under the algebra $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l[U^1_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}, \ldots, U^n_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}]$ as the direct sum of the simultaneous generalized eigenspaces of these operators; the sum of the eigenspaces corresponding to a tuple of non-zero eigenvalues is mapped isomorphically onto $\iota^{-1}\pi_{v,N}^{T_c(v)}$.

The tuples appearing in σ_N^{ss} have the form

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^j \left[q_v^{(n-1)/2-(i-1)} \prod_\tau \iota^{-1}\tau(\varpi_v)^{-\lambda_{\tau,n+1-i}} \alpha_{w(i)}(\varpi_v) \right] \right)_{j=1}^n,$$

the j^{th} entry having valuation $\sum_{i=1}^j \left(\mathrm{val}(\alpha_{w(i)}(\varpi_v)) + 1/e_v \sum_\tau a_{\tau,i} \right)$. If π is ι-ordinary then there exists $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^j \left(\mathrm{val}(\alpha_{w(i)}(\varpi_v)) + 1/e_v \sum_\tau a_{\tau,i} \right) = 0$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and hence $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_{w(j)}(\varpi_v)) = -1/e_v \sum_\tau a_{\tau,j}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$. This implies that $w = 1$ and the $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_j(\varpi_v))$ are distinct.

Suppose now that π is not necessarily ι-ordinary, but that the $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_j(\varpi_v))$ are distinct. After the Zelevinsky classification [Zel80], the Jordan-Hölder factors of the representation σ appear with multiplicity one, and σ has a unique generic subquotient ρ, characterized by the following condition: ρ_N^{ss} is a direct sum of those characters $\alpha_{r_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{r_n}$ such that if $\alpha_{r_i} = |\cdot|\alpha_{r_j}$, some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, then $i < j$. If $\alpha_i = |\cdot|\alpha_j$ then $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_i(\varpi_v)) = -f_v + \mathrm{val}(\alpha_j(\varpi_v))$, and hence $i < j$. This certainly holds for the character $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n$, which shows that if π is ι-ordinary then π_v is generic. Conversely, if π_v is generic then the character $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n$

appears in $\pi_{v,N}$. It follows that there is a unique line inside $\iota^{-1}\pi_v^{\mathrm{Iw}_c(v)}$ where the operators $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ act with their eigenvalue $u^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ of minimal valuation

$$\mathrm{val}(u^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}) = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \left(\mathrm{val}(\alpha_i(\varpi_v)) - 1/e_v \sum_{\tau} a_{\tau,i} \right).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that π_1, π_2 are cuspidal conjugate self-dual automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{n_2}(\mathbb{A}_E)$, respectively, where $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Suppose that $\Pi = \pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2$ is regular algebraic. Then the representations $\pi_i|\cdot|^{(n_i-n)/2}$ are regular algebraic, and Π is ι-ordinary if and only if $\pi_1|\cdot|^{(n_1-n)/2}, \pi_2|\cdot|^{(n_2-n)/2}$ are ι-ordinary and the following condition on infinity types holds. Recall the Weyl group element $\mathbf{w} = (w_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})} \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})}$. Then w_τ depends only on the place v of E dividing l induced by the embedding $\iota^{-1}\tau : E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$.*

Proof. We first establish some notation. Let $v|l$ be a place of E. Suppose that π_1 is the generic subquotient of the representation n-$\mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}} \beta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_{n_1}$, and that π_2 is the generic subquotient of the representation n-$\mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{GL}_{n_2}} \gamma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{n_2}$, where $\mathrm{val}(\beta_1(\varpi_v)) \leq \cdots \leq \mathrm{val}(\beta_{n_1}(\varpi_v))$ and $\mathrm{val}(\gamma_1(\varpi_v)) \leq \cdots \leq \mathrm{val}(\gamma_{n_2}(\varpi_v))$. Let $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n = \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n_1}, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n_2}$. Since $\pi_{1,v}$ and $\pi_{2,v}$ are unitary and generic, Π_v is the generic subquotient of a representation n-$\mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{GL}_n} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n$, $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_1(\varpi_v)) \leq \cdots \leq \mathrm{val}(\alpha_n(\varpi_v))$ and $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} = \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n\}$.

Similarly, let $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ denote the infinity types of π_1 and π_2, respectively, and define $\mathbf{d}$ by $d_{\tau,1}, \ldots, d_{\tau,n} = b_{\tau,1}, \ldots, b_{\tau,n_1}, c_{\tau,1}, \ldots, c_{\tau,n_2}$. If $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an embedding such that $\iota^{-1}\tau$ induces the place v of E, then the Weyl group element w_τ is defined by the condition that $d_{\tau,w_\tau(i)} = a_{\tau,i}$, where $\mathbf{a}$ is the infinity type of Π.

We now come to the proof of the lemma. Suppose first that Π is ι-ordinary. Then the $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_i(\varpi_v)) = -1/e_v \sum_\tau a_{\tau,i}$ are distinct. We can therefore define a permutation w_v, uniquely determined by π_1 and π_2, by the formula $\delta_{w_v(i)} = \alpha_i$. We show that $w_v = w_\tau$ for each τ as above. Suppose for contradiction that $w_v \neq w_\tau$ for some τ, and let j be minimal with the property that $w_v(j+1) \neq w_\tau(j+1)$ for some τ. Suppose that

$$\{\delta_{w_v(1)}, \ldots, \delta_{w_v(j)}\} = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s\}.$$

Since Π is ι-ordinary, we have

$$\min(\mathrm{val}(\beta_{r+1}(\varpi_v)), \mathrm{val}(\gamma_{s+1}(\varpi_v))) = \mathrm{val}(\delta_{w_v(j+1)}) = -1/e_v \sum_\tau d_{\tau,w_\tau(j+1)} = -1/e_v \sum_\tau \max(b_{\tau,r+1}, c_{\tau,s+1}).$$

Suppose that $\mathrm{val}(\beta_{r+1}(\varpi_v)) < \mathrm{val}(\gamma_{s+1}(\varpi_v))$. We have $\mathrm{val}(\beta_i(\varpi_v)) = -1/e_v \sum_\tau b_{\tau,i}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, so the previous lemma implies that $\mathrm{val}(\beta_{r+1}(\varpi_v)) \geq -1/e_v \sum_\tau b_{\tau,r+1}$, and hence $\sum_\tau \max(b_{\tau,r+1}, c_{\tau,s+1}) \leq \sum_\tau b_{\tau,r+1}$. Since Π is regular algebraic, for each τ we have $b_{\tau,r+1} \neq c_{\tau,s+1}$ so equality holds and $w_\tau(j+1) = w_v(j+1) = r+1$. Similarly if $\mathrm{val}(\beta_{r+1}(\varpi_v)) > \mathrm{val}(\gamma_{s+1}(\varpi_v))$ then we deduce $w_\tau(j+1) = w_v(j+1) = s+1$, a contradiction.

We therefore have $w_v = w_\tau$ for each τ, and $\mathrm{val}(\beta_j(\varpi_v)) = -1/e_v \sum_\tau b_{\tau,j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n_1$, and $\mathrm{val}(\gamma_j(\varpi_v)) = -1/e_v \sum_\tau c_{\tau,j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n_2$. This implies that $\pi_1|\cdot|^{(n_1-n)/2}, \pi_2|\cdot|^{(n_2-n)/2}$ are ι-ordinary.

Suppose conversely that $\pi_1|\cdot|^{(n_1-n)/2}, \pi_2|\cdot|^{(n_2-n)/2}$ are ι-ordinary and that the condition on infinity types holds. We see that for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_j(\varpi_v)) = \mathrm{val}(\delta_{w_v(j)}) = -1/e_v \sum_\tau d_{\tau,w_\tau(j)} = -1/e_v \sum_\tau a_{\tau,j}$. By the previous lemma, Π is also ι-ordinary. □

2.3 Soluble base change for GL_n

Let E be an imaginary CM field with totally real subfield F. We suppose that L/E is a soluble CM extension. We also fix a prime l and an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.7. *1. Let π be a RACSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, and suppose that $r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_L}$ is irreducible. Then there exists a RACSDC automorphic representation π_L of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_L)$ such that $r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_L} \cong \pi_L$.*

2. *Suppose that $\rho : G_E \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is a continuous representation such that $\rho|_{G_L}$ is irreducible, and that there exists a RACSDC automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_L)$ such that $\rho|_{G_L} \cong r_\iota(\Pi)$. Then there exists a RACSDC automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that $\Pi \cong \pi_L$.*

3. *Let π be a RACSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that $r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_L}$ is irreducible. Then π is ι-ordinary if and only if π_L is ι-ordinary.*

Proof. For the first part, the existence of π_L follows from [AC89, Theorem 4.2]. To see that π_L is cuspidal, we reduce to the case L/E cyclic of prime order. If π_L fails to be cuspidal then there is an isomorphism $\pi \otimes \epsilon \cong \pi$, where ϵ is an Artin character associated to L/E. This implies that $r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_L}$ is reducible, a contradiction. The second part follows from [BLGHT11, Lemma 1.4]. The third part follows from [Ger, Lemma 5.1.6]. □

2.4 Definite unitary groups

We now suppose that E/F is everywhere unramified and that $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even. Let G be a unitary group in n variables associated to the extension E/F, quasi-split at every finite place, such that $G(\mathbb{R})$ is compact. Such a group exists since $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even, and is uniquely determined up to isomorphism. We can choose the matrix algebra $B = M_n(E)$ and an involution $\dagger$ of B of the second kind, so that G is defined by

$$G(R) = \{g \in (B \otimes_F R) \,|\, g^\dagger g = 1\}$$

for any F-algebra R. We may choose an order $\mathcal{O}_B \subset B$, stable under $\dagger$, so that $\mathcal{O}_{B,w}$ is maximal for any place w of E split over F. This defines an integral model of G over $\mathcal{O}_F$, and for any place v of F split as $v = ww^c$ in E, we can choose an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathcal{O}_{F_v} \cong M_n(\mathcal{O}_{E_w}) \times M_n(\mathcal{O}_{E_{w^c}}),$$

such that $\dagger$ acts as $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto (g_2, {}^t g_1)$. Projection onto the first factor induces an isomorphism $\iota_w : G(F_v) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(E_w)$ such that $\iota_w(G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{E_w})$.

Let l be a prime, and suppose that every prime of F above l splits in E. Let S_l denote the set of primes of F above l. We choose a prime $\widetilde{v}$ of E above v for each $v \in S_l$, and let $\widetilde{S}_l$ denote the set of these primes. Then, as above, we are given an isomorphism $\iota_{\widetilde{v}} : G(F_v) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\widetilde{v}})$. We write I_l for the set of embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, and $\widetilde{I}_l$ for the set of embeddings $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ inducing an element of $\widetilde{S}_l$. These two sets are therefore in canonical bijection.

Let $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_l$, with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ and residue field k. We suppose that K contains the image of E under every embedding $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. To a tuple $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \dots, \lambda_{\tau,n})_{\tau \in \widetilde{I}_l}$ of dominant weights of GL_n, we associate a representation $M_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ of the group $\prod_{v \in S_l} G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ as in [Ger, Definition 2.2.3]. It is an $\mathcal{O}$-lattice inside the representation $W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \otimes_{\tau \in \widetilde{I}_l}(W_{\lambda_\tau} \otimes_{F_v,\tau} K)$, where W_{λ_τ} is the algebraic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F_v)$ of highest weight λ_τ, and v is the place of F induced by τ.

Fix $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and an open compact subgroup $U = \prod_v U_v \subset G(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$, such that $U_v \subset G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ for each $v \in S_l$. Let A be an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra. We can then define a space of automorphic forms with A-coefficients as follows. By definition, $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(U, A)$ is the set of functions $f : G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \to M_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$ such that for all $u \in U$, we have $f(gu) = u_l^{-1} \cdot f(g)$. Here u_l denotes the projection of u to its $\prod_{v \in S_l} G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$-component. The relation with classical automorphic forms is given by the following result. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the space of automorphic forms on $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$, and let $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism. There is an algebraic representation $W_{\iota\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ of $G(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$, defined by the formula $\otimes_{\tau \in \widetilde{I}_l} W_{\lambda_\tau} \otimes_{F_v,\iota\tau} \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.8. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\left(\varinjlim_U S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(U, K)\right) \otimes_{K,\iota} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{G(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})}(W_{\iota\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^\vee, \mathcal{A}).$$

In particular, for any irreducible subrepresentation $\sigma \subset \mathcal{A}$, we have a canonical subspace $\iota^{-1}(\sigma^\infty)^U \subset S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$.

Proof. This can be proved exactly as in the proof of [CHT08, Proposition 3.3.2]. □

If π is an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and σ is an automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$, we say that π is the base change of σ if for any finite place w of E, the following condition is satisfied:

- If w is split over the place v of F, then $\pi_w = \sigma_v \circ \iota_w$ is the standard base change of σ_v.
- If w is inert over the place v of F and σ_v is unramified, then π_w is the standard unramified base change of σ_v, cf. [Mín11, §4.1]

Proposition 2.9. *1. Suppose that σ is an automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Then there exist discrete and conjugate self-dual representations $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that $\pi = \pi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \pi_s$ is the base change of σ in the above sense.*

2. Suppose that π is a RACSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that if π_w is ramified, then w is split over F. Then there exists an automorphic representation σ of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that π is the base change of σ in the above sense.

3. Suppose that $\pi = \pi_a \boxplus \pi_b$ is a RACSD automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, where π_a, π_b are cuspidal, conjugate self-dual automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_b(\mathbb{A}_E)$, respectively. We assume the following hypotheses:

- *Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C})}$ denote the Weyl group element associated to the infinity types of π_a, π_b. For each place $v|\infty$ of F, choose an embedding $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ inducing v. Then $\prod_v w_{\tau(v)} = 1$.*
- *ab is even and $a \neq b$.*
- *If π_w is ramified then w is split over F.*

Then there exists an automorphic representation σ of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that π is the base change of σ in the above sense.

Proof. The first part follows from [Lab11, Corollaire 5.3]. The second part follows from [Lab11, Théorème 5.4]. The third part can be deduced from results of Mok [Mok], exactly as in the proof of [CT, Theorem 3.13]. The only new point to note is that since ab is even, the Whittaker-normalized transfer factors for the quasi-split group $U(n)$ and its endoscopic group $U(a) \times U(b)$ coincide with the Langlands-Shelstad factors since, in the notation of [CT, §3.6], the virtual representation $V = V_G - V_H$ is trivial in this case. □

Let $U = \prod_v U_v$ be an open compact subgroup as above, and suppose that there exists an integer $c \geq 1$ such that for each $v \in S_l$, $U_v = \iota_{\widetilde{v}}^{-1} \mathrm{Iw}_c(\widetilde{v})$. For each prime $v \in S_l$, fix a uniformizer $\varpi_{\widetilde{v}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\widetilde{v}}}$, and define the matrix

$$\alpha_v^j = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{\varpi_{\widetilde{v}}, \dots, \varpi_{\widetilde{v}}}_{j}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-j}).$$

We define an endomorphism $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ of the space $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(U, \mathcal{O})$ by the formula

$$U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v} = \prod_\tau \iota^{-1}\tau(\varpi_{\widetilde{v}})^{-\lambda_{\tau,n}-\cdots-\lambda_{\tau,n+1-j}} \iota_{\widetilde{v}}^{-1} \left[\mathrm{Iw}_c(\widetilde{v}) \alpha_v^j \mathrm{Iw}_c(\widetilde{v})\right],$$

the product running over the embeddings $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\iota^{-1}\tau$ induces the place $\widetilde{v}$ of E. These operators obviously act on $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(U, K)$. In fact, they preserve the integral lattice $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(U, \mathcal{O})$, by the remark after [Ger, Definition 2.3.1]. It follows that if σ is an irreducible subrepresentation of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $(\sigma^\infty)^U \neq 0$ and $\sigma_\infty \cong W_{\iota\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^\vee$, then the eigenvalues of $U^j_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},v}$ on $\iota^{-1}(\sigma^\infty)^U$ are integral. (This establishes the fact used in the first sentence of the proof of Lemma 2.5.)

3 Congruences and functoriality

In this section we formulate some conjectures about automorphic forms which are related to conjugate self-dual Galois representations. Since we mostly take the point of view of Galois representations, rather than automorphic forms, we formulate these using a Galois-theoretic language, rather than using e.g. the automorphic language of [Clo90].

The conjectures below are stated in the context of an imaginary CM field E with totally real subfield F, and automorphic representations $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots$. When we state later that we will assume that a given conjecture holds, we mean that it holds for all choices of E/F and automorphic representations satisfying the given conditions.

3.1 Level raising

We put ourselves in the situation of §2.4. Thus G is a definite unitary group in n variables associated to a CM extension E/F. Fix an irreducible $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$-subrepresentation σ of the space $\mathcal{A}$ with $\sigma_\infty \cong W_{\iota\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^\vee$ for some dominant weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$. By [Gue11, Theorem 2.3], there exists a continuous semisimple representation $r_\iota(\sigma) : G_E \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ satisfying the relation $WD(r_\iota(\sigma)|_{G_{E_w}})^{\text{F-ss}} \cong \text{rec}_{E_w}^T(\iota^{-1}\sigma_v \circ \iota_w)$ for every place w of E split over F. Let w_0 be such a place, and let v_0 be the place of F below it. If $\sigma_{v_0} \circ \iota_{w_0}$ has an Iwahori-fixed vector and w_0 does not divide l, then $r_\iota(\sigma)|^{\text{ss}}_{G_{E_{w_0}}}$ is unramified. We say that σ satisfies the level raising congruence at w_0 if the eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of $r_\iota(\sigma)|^{\text{ss}}_{G_{E_{w_0}}}(\text{Frob}_{w_0})$ satisfy $\alpha_i \equiv \alpha_1 q_{w_0}^{n-i} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l}$, up to re-ordering, where $\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l$ is the unique maximal ideal.

Conjecture 3.1 (LR_n)**.** *Suppose that σ is ι-ordinary and that the irreducible constituents of the residual representation $\overline{r_\iota(\sigma)}$ have pairwise distinct dimensions. Suppose further that σ satisfies the level-raising congruence at the place w_0.*

Let $U = \prod_v U_v \subset G(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ be an open compact subgroup with $(\sigma^\infty)^U \neq 0$, and such that for some finite place v of F, U_v contains no non-trivial elements of finite order. Then there exists a second automorphic representation σ_1 of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ satisfying the following:

- $\sigma_{1,\infty} \cong W_{\iota\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^\vee$.
- $\overline{r_\iota(\sigma)} \cong \overline{r_\iota(\sigma_1)}$.
- *σ_1 is ι-ordinary and $(\sigma_1^\infty)^U \neq 0$.*
- *$\sigma_{1,v_0} \circ \iota_{w_0}$ is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.*

This conjecture is closely related to Ihara's lemma (see for example [CHT08, Conjecture B]). It is known in some cases when $n \leq 3$, or when l is a banal characteristic for $\text{GL}_n(E_{w_0})$, cf. [Thob]. We have chosen to restrict the statement to ι-ordinary representations since this is all we require here for the application to symmetric power functoriality, and since we believe that this may be easier than the most general case. In fact, it would even suffice for our purposes to treat the case where $q_{w_0} \equiv 1 \bmod l$, σ_{v_0} is unramified, and $\overline{r_\iota(\sigma)}|_{G_{E_{w_0}}}$ is trivial.

3.2 Automorphic tensor product

Let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer, and suppose that E is an imaginary CM field with totally real subfield F, and that (π_1, ψ_1) and (π_2, ψ_2) are RAECSDC automorphic representations of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, respectively. We will state here a version of the conjectural $\text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_n \to \text{GL}_{2n}$ lifting that we hope will be accessible through Galois-theoretic methods.

Conjecture 3.2 (TP_n)**.** *Fix a prime l and an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that the representation $r_\iota(\pi_1) \otimes r_\iota(\pi_2)$ is irreducible and Hodge-Tate regular. Then there exists a RAECSDC automorphic representation (π, χ) of $\text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that $r_\iota(\pi) \cong r_\iota(\pi_1) \otimes r_\iota(\pi_2)$.*

This conjecture is known to be true if $n = 2$ or if $n = 3$ (see the papers [Ram00] and [KS02], respectively). In addition, a 'potential' version of this conjecture follows in many cases from potential automorphy theorems, cf. [BLGGT].

3.3 Automorphic symmetric power

Now suppose that F is a totally real field, and that (π, χ) is a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, without CM, i.e. not induced from an algebraic Grössencharacter of a CM quadratic extension of F. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, and let $\mathbf{K} = \{K_1, \ldots, K_s\}$ be a set of finite Galois extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$.

Conjecture 3.3 ($\mathrm{SP}_{n+1}(\mathbf{K})$)**.** *Suppose that F does not contain K_i, for any $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Then the n^{th} symmetric power lifting of π exists, in the following sense: there exists a RAESDC automorphic representation (Π, ψ) of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that for any isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$, there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}^n r_\iota(\pi) \cong r_\iota(\Pi)$.*

We remark that if $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{K}'$, then $\mathrm{SP}_{n+1}(\mathbf{K}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP}_{n+1}(\mathbf{K}')$. This conjecture is known to be true with $\mathbf{K} = \emptyset$ if $n = 2$, 3 or 4 (see the papers [GJ78], [KS02] and [Kim03], respectively). In many cases, a 'potential' version follows from potential automorphy theorems. The reason for introducing the set $\mathbf{K}$ here is that the automorphy lifting theorems to be used later require supplementary hypotheses on the presence of roots of unity in the base field F.

3.4 Main theorem

Let $\mathbf{K} = \{K_1, \ldots, K_s\}$ be a set of finite Galois extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. We write $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)^+$ for the totally real subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $l \geq 5$ be prime, and let $0 < r < l$ be an integer. Suppose that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)^+ \in \mathbf{K}$. Then the following implication holds:*

$$\mathrm{SP}_{l-r}(\mathbf{K}) + \mathrm{SP}_r(\mathbf{K}) + \mathrm{TP}_r + \mathrm{LR}_{l+r} \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP}_{l+r}(\mathbf{K}).$$

The proof of this theorem will be given in §§4–5.

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose that TP_r and LR_{r+1} hold for all integers $r \geq 1$. Let F be a totally real field, and let (π, χ) be a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, not automorphically induced from a quadratic CM extension.*

Suppose that if $l \geq 5$ is prime, then $[F(\zeta_l) : F] > 2$. Then the symmetric r^{th} power lifting of π exists for all integers $r \geq 1$.

Proof of Corollary 3.5. If $r \geq 1$ is an integer, let $\mathbf{K}_r$ denote the set of fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)^+$, as l runs over primes $5 \leq l \leq r$. Under the assumption of hypotheses TP_r and LR_{r+1}, the above theorem simply gives the implication (whenever $l \geq 5$ is prime and $0 < s < l$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)^+ \in \mathbf{K}$):

$$\mathrm{SP}_{l-s}(\mathbf{K}) + \mathrm{SP}_s(\mathbf{K}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP}_{l+s}(\mathbf{K}).$$

To prove the corollary, it suffices to prove $\mathrm{SP}_{r+1}(\mathbf{K}_r)$ for all $r \geq 1$. We prove this by induction on $r \geq 1$. It is already known to hold for $1 \leq r \leq 4$. For general r, note that by Bertrand's postulate there exists a prime l satisfying $(r+1)/2 < l < r+1$, and hence $l < r+1 < 2l$. Writing $r+1 = l+s$, we therefore have $0 < s < l$. The above implication now implies that $\mathrm{SP}_{l+s}(\mathbf{K}_r) = \mathrm{SP}_{r+1}(\mathbf{K}_r)$ holds. □

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that LR_{r+1} holds for all $1 \leq r \leq 26$. Then $\mathrm{SP}_{r+1}(\mathbf{K}_{25})$ holds for all integers $1 \leq r \leq 9$, and for all odd integers $1 \leq r \leq 25$.*

Proof of Corollary 3.6. The deduction of this corollary is similar, using that TP_r and $\mathrm{SP}_r(\emptyset)$ are already known to hold for $1 \leq r \leq 3$. Indeed, we now have the implications (under LR_{r+1}, and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)^+ \in \mathbf{K}$):

$$\mathrm{SP}_{l-1}(\mathbf{K}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP}_{l+1}(\mathbf{K}),\ \mathrm{SP}_{l-2}(\mathbf{K}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP}_{l+2}(\mathbf{K}) \text{ and } \mathrm{SP}_{l-3}(\mathbf{K}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP}_{l+3}(\mathbf{K}).$$

The result follows on using the primes $5, 7, \ldots, 23$. □

3.5 Lemmas about ordinariness

In certain situations, the functorial operations above preserve the property of being ordinary. This is the content of the results of this section.

Lemma 3.7. *In the situation of conjecture* TP_n*, suppose the following.*

- π_1 *and* π_2 *are* ι*-ordinary.*
- *Let* $\mathbf{a}$ *and* $\mathbf{b}$ *denote the infinity types of* π_1 *and* π_2*, respectively. Then* a_τ *and* b_τ *depend only on the place of* E *induced by the embedding* $\iota^{-1}\tau : E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$*.*

Then π *is* ι*-ordinary.*

Proof. Let $v|l$ be a place of E, and suppose that $\iota^{-1}\pi_{1,v}$ is a subquotient of $\text{n-Ind}_B^{\mathrm{GL}_2} \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2$, $\iota^{-1}\pi_{2,v}$ is a subquotient of $\text{n-Ind}_B^{\mathrm{GL}_n} \beta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_n$, where $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_1(\varpi_v)) < \mathrm{val}(\alpha_2(\varpi_v))$, and $\mathrm{val}(\beta_1(\varpi_v)) < \cdots < \mathrm{val}(\beta_n(\varpi_v))$. Since π_1 and π_2 are ι-ordinary, we have by Lemma 2.5 the equalities

$$\mathrm{val}(\alpha_i(\varpi_v)) = -1/e_v \sum_\tau a_{\tau,i} \text{ and } \mathrm{val}(\beta_j(\varpi_v)) = -1/e_v \sum_\tau b_{\tau,j},$$

the sum being over embeddings $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\iota^{-1}\tau$ induces the place v. In particular, since $r_\iota(\pi_1) \otimes r_\iota(\pi_2)$ is Hodge-Tate regular, the quantities $\mathrm{val}(\alpha_i(\varpi_v)\beta_j(\varpi_v))$ are distinct as i, j vary, and the permutation required to put these quantities in strictly increasing order is the same as the permutation required, for each τ, to put the quantities $a_{\tau,i} + b_{\tau,j}$ in strictly decreasing order. The same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.6 now gives the conclusion. □

Lemma 3.8. *In the situation of conjecture* $\mathrm{SP}_{n+1}(\mathbf{K})$*, suppose that* π *is* ι*-ordinary. Then* Π *is* ι*-ordinary.*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Lemma 3.7. □

4 Construction of a special automorphic representation

Let E be an imaginary CM field with totally real subfield F such that E/F is everywhere unramified and $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even. Suppose that π is a RACSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = 0$. Let $l \geq 5$ be a prime, and let $0 < r < l$. Set $n = l + r$.

We fix a choice of isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$. In order to reduce notation, we now write $\rho = r_\iota(\pi)$. We suppose that the following hypotheses are in effect.

- Every prime of F dividing l or above which π is ramified is split in E.
- π is ι-ordinary.
- The residual representation $\overline{\rho} : G_E \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$ is irreducible, and its image contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{l^a})$ up to conjugation, for some $a > 1$.
- There exist RACSDC automorphic representations Π_1, Π_2 of the groups $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{l-r}(\mathbb{A}_E)$, respectively, such that $r_\iota(\Pi_1) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1}\rho$ and $r_\iota(\Pi_2) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{l-r-1}\rho$. (These Galois representations are irreducible, by the previous hypothesis.)
- There exists a place w_0 of E, split over F and coprime to l, such that π_{w_0} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. We write v_0 for the place of F below w_0.

In this case we note that there is an isomorphism of residual representations

$$\left(\mathrm{Sym}^{l+r-1}\overline{\rho}\right)^{\mathrm{ss}} \cong \left(^{\varphi}\overline{\rho} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1}\overline{\rho}\right) \oplus \overline{\chi}^r \mathrm{Sym}^{l-r-1}\overline{\rho},$$

where φ denotes a lift to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ of the arithmetic Frobenius, and $\chi = \det \rho$. (This follows from the corresponding identity of representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$, which can be seen by calculating the trace on either side of an upper-triangular element.) The two summands here are irreducible, and each of different dimension, coprime to l. We remark that ${}^{\varphi}\overline{\rho}$ is already the residual representation of a RACSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of weight zero, by Lemma 2.3 and [Clo90, Proposition 4.12], which describes the action of Galois on infinity types.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that conjecture* TP_r *holds. Then there exist cuspidal conjugate self-dual automorphic representations* σ_1, σ_2 *of* $\mathrm{GL}_{2r}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ *and* $\mathrm{GL}_{l-r}(\mathbb{A}_E)$*, respectively, and satisfying the following:*

- $\Sigma = \sigma_1 \boxplus \sigma_2$ *is regular algebraic and* ι*-ordinary of weight zero.*
- *The representation* Σ_{w_0} *has an Iwahori-fixed vector.*
- *There is an isomorphism of residual representations*
$$\overline{r_\iota(\Sigma)} \cong \left(\mathrm{Sym}^{l+r-1} \overline{\rho}\right)^{ss}.$$
- *If* Σ_w *is ramified then* π_w *is ramified.*

Proof. By [Tho12, Theorem 10.2] and [Tho12, Theorem 9.1], there exists an ι-ordinary RAECSDC automorphic representation $(\pi', |\cdot|^{1-l})$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ satisfying the following:

- $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')} \cong \overline{\rho}$.
- $r_\iota(\pi')^c \cong r_\iota(\pi')^\vee \epsilon^{-l}$.
- π'_{w_0} has an Iwahori fixed vector.
- If π'_w is ramified then π_w is ramified.
- For all embeddings $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, we have $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(r_\iota(\pi')) = \{0, l\}$.

By TP_r, there exists an RAECSDC automorphic representation (Π'_2, χ') of $\mathrm{GL}_{2r}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that $r_\iota(\Pi'_2) \cong {}^{\varphi} r_\iota(\pi') \otimes r_\iota(\Pi_2)$. In fact, we have $\chi' = |\cdot|^{(r-l)}$, and Π'_2 is ι-ordinary, by Lemma 3.7. Let $\sigma_2 = \Pi'_2 |\cdot|^{(l-r)/2}$. Then σ_2 is conjugate self-dual and cuspidal, and σ_{2,w_0} has an Iwahori fixed vector. Let $\psi = (\epsilon\chi)^r$. Then $\psi\psi^c = 1$ and $\sigma_1 = \Pi_1 \otimes \iota\psi$ is RACSDC, ι-ordinary, and has an Iwahori-fixed vector.

We claim that $\Sigma = \sigma_1 \boxplus \sigma_2$ is regular algebraic. To see this it suffices to calculate the infinity types of the constituent cuspidal representations at each embedding $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. These are independent of τ; for σ_2 we have the infinity type $((l-r-1)/2, \ldots, (r+1-l)/2)$, and for σ_1 we have

$$((l+r-1)/2, \ldots, (l-r+1)/2, (r-l-1)/2, \ldots, (1-r-l)/2).$$

The representation Σ_{w_0} has an Iwahori-fixed vector, and is ι-ordinary by Lemma 2.6. It satisfies the third and last points by construction. This concludes the proof. □

Theorem 4.2. *With hypotheses as above, assume conjectures* TP_r *and* LR_{l+r}*. Then there exists a RACSDC automorphic representation* Π *of* $\mathrm{GL}_{l+r}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ *satisfying the following:*

- Π *is* ι*-ordinary.*
- *The representation* Π_{w_0} *is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.*
- *There is an isomorphism*
$$\overline{r_\iota(\Pi)} \cong \left(\mathrm{Sym}^{l+r-1} \overline{\rho}\right)^{ss}.$$

Proof. Let Σ denote the automorphic representation constructed in Proposition 4.1. Let G be the definite unitary group of §2.4, with $n = l + r$. By Proposition 2.9, there exists an automorphic representation Σ_1 of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that Σ is the base change of Σ_1. Applying conjecture LR_{l+r} to Σ_1, we deduce the the existence of an ι-ordinary automorphic representation Σ_2 of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of the same weight, such that $\Sigma_{2,v_0} \circ \iota_{w_0}$ is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. Let Π denote the base change of Σ_2 to $\mathrm{GL}_{l+r}(\mathbb{A}_E)$, which exists, again by Proposition 2.9. Since Π_{w_0} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation, Π must be cuspidal. This completes the proof. □

5 Proof of Theorem 3.4

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 3.4. We therefore suppose throughout that $l \geq 5$ is a prime, and that $0 < r < l$. We fix a set $\mathbf{K} = \{K_1, \dots, K_s\}$ of finite Galois extensions, and suppose that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)^+ \in \mathbf{K}$. We also assume that conjectures $\mathrm{SP}_{l-r}(\mathbf{K})$, $\mathrm{SP}_r(\mathbf{K})$, LR_{l+r}, and TP_r hold. The linchpin in the proof is the following special case, which asserts that we can deduce the existence of the $(l + r - 1)^{\text{th}}$ symmetric power lifting of a Hilbert modular form when certain local hypotheses are in play. First, let us note that by [Gur, Theorem 1.2], there exists an integer $a > 1$ such that the representations $^{\varphi}\,\mathrm{Sd} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1}\,\mathrm{Sd}$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^{l-r-1}\,\mathrm{Sd}$ of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{l^b})$ are adequate, in the sense of [Tho12, §2], whenever $b \geq a$, where Sd denotes the standard representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{l^b})$. We recall that by [Gur, Lemma 1.4], any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$ containing an adequate subgroup as a normal subgroup of index prime to l is adequate.

Proposition 5.1. *Let F be a totally real field, and fix an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that (π, χ) is a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ satisfying the following hypotheses:*

- *π is ι-ordinary of weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = 0$. (In more classical language, π has parallel weight two.)*
- *The image of the residual representation $\overline{r_\iota(\pi)}$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{l^b})$ for some integer $b \geq a$.*
- *There exists a place $v_0 \nmid l$ of F such that π_{v_0} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.*

Then the $(l + r - 1)^{th}$ symmetric power lifting of π exists: there exists an ι-ordinary RAESDC automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_{l+r}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $r_\iota(\Pi) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{l+r-1} r_\iota(\pi)$.

Proof. We deduce the theorem from [Thoa, Theorem 7.1]. After replacing F by a soluble extension not containing any K_i, we can assume that there exists a quadratic CM imaginary extension E/F, linearly disjoint over F from the extension of $F(\zeta_l)$ cut out by $\overline{r_\iota(\pi)}$ and satisfying the hypotheses of §4. Arguing as in the proof of [CHT08, Theorem 4.4.3], we see that there exists an algebraic character $\psi : G_E \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$ such that $\chi|_{G_E} = \psi\psi^c$, and (if π_E denotes the base change of π to E) $\pi' = \pi_E \otimes \psi^{-1}$ is RACSDC. Replacing F again by a soluble extension, we can arrange that the hypotheses of §4 apply to π', so by Theorem 4.2 there exists a RACSDC automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_{l+r}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that

$$\overline{r_\iota(\Pi)} \cong \left(\mathrm{Sym}^{l+r-1} \overline{r_\iota(\pi')}\right)^{\mathrm{ss}},$$

and moreover that Π is ι-ordinary and Π_{w_0} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation, for some place w_0 of E above v_0. The result follows from [Thoa, Theorem 7.1] and Theorem 2.7, on checking the following remaining hypotheses of [Thoa, Theorem 7.1]:

- The element ζ_l is not fixed by $\ker \mathrm{ad}\, \overline{r_\iota(\Pi)}$.
- Each irreducible constituent of $\overline{r_\iota(\Pi)}|_{G_{E(\zeta_l)}}$ is adequate.

The first point holds because $[E(\zeta_l) : E] > 2$ and the extension of E cut out by $\mathrm{ad}\, \overline{r_\iota(\Pi)}$ is contained inside the extension cut out by $\mathrm{ad}\, \overline{r_\iota(\pi)}$. On the other hand, the projective image of $\overline{r_\iota(\pi)}$ contains a simple normal subgroup of index at most 2 (by the classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$). The second point follows from our hypothesis and [Gur, Lemma 1.4]. □

We now reduce the general case of $\mathrm{SP}_{l+r}(\mathbf{K})$ to this one by using a chain of congruences. Let F be a totally real field not containing K_i, $i = 1, \dots, s$ and let (π, χ) denote a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ without CM. We must show that the symmetric $(l+r-1)^{\text{th}}$ power lifting of π exists.

Proposition 5.2. *There exists a prime $p \neq l$, an isomorphism $\iota_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$, and a soluble totally real extension F'/F linearly disjoint from the extension of $F(\zeta_p)$ cut out by $\overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi)}$ and not containing any field K_i, and a RAESDC automorphic representation π' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$ satisfying the following:*

- *The image of the residual representation $\overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi)}$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, up to conjugation.*
- *π' has weight zero, and for every prime $v|l$, π'_v is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.*
- *There exists a place v_0 of F', not dividing pl, and such that π'_{v_0} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.*
- *The symmetric $(l+r-1)^{th}$ power lifting of π exists if and only if the symmetric $(l+r-1)^{th}$ power lifting of π' exists.*

Proof. By [Dim05, Proposition 3.8], all but finitely many pairs (p, ι_p) satisfy the first bullet point. We can therefore choose $p > 2(l+r+1)$ and ι_p such that the first bullet point is satisfied and p is unramified in F. We can moreover assume that for every embedding $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, the Hodge-Tate weights $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(r_{\iota_p}(\pi))$ differ by at most $p-2$. Then $r_{\iota_p}(\pi)$ is potentially diagonalizable, by [BLGGT, Lemma 1.4.1]. Let F' be a soluble totally real extension of F, linearly disjoint from the extension of $F(\zeta_p)$ cut out by $\overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi)}$, not containing any K_i, and such that for every prime $v|l$ of F', $\overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi)}|_{G_{F'_v}}$ is trivial and $q_v \equiv 1 \bmod p$. Choose a place $v_0 \nmid lp$ of F' such that $\overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi)}|_{G_{F'_{v_0}}}$ is trivial, and $q_{v_0} \equiv 1 \bmod p$. By [Gee11, Corollary 3.1.7], there exists a second RAESDC automorphic representation π' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$ such that $\overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi)}|_{G_{F'}} \cong \overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi')}$, such that $r_{\iota_p}(\pi')$ is potentially diagonalizable of weight zero at every prime v of F' dividing p, and such that the representations π'_{v_0} and π'_v for each place $v|l$ of F' are each an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. Here we note [GK, Lemma 4.4.1], which states that a potentially Barsotti-Tate representation is also potentially diagonalizable.

It now follows that both representations $\mathrm{Sym}^{l+r-1} r_{\iota_p}(\pi)|_{G_{F'}}$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^{l+r-1} r_{\iota_p}(\pi')$ are potentially diagonalizable. Moreover, their residual representations are irreducible and isomorphic, and adequate, even on restriction to $G_{F'(\zeta_p)}$, since $p > 2(l+r+1)$ (see the appendix to [Tho12]). We deduce immediately from [BLGGT, Theorem 4.2.1] that the automorphy of either one of these Galois representations is equivalent to that of the other. The final bullet point for π' now follows on combining this with soluble base change [BLGHT11, Lemma 1.3]. □

After replacing F by F' and π by π', we can suppose without loss of generality that the following hypotheses are in effect:

1. π has weight zero.
2. For each place $v|l$, π_v is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
3. There exists a place v_0 of F, not dividing l, such that π_{v_0} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.

Proposition 5.3. *With assumptions as above, there exists a prime $p \neq l$, coprime to v_0, an isomorphism $\iota_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$, and a RAESDC automorphic representation π' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ satisfying the following hypotheses:*

- *The image of the residual representation $\overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi)}$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, up to conjugation.*
- *p is unramified in F, both π and π' are unramified at p, and p is split in the coefficient field of π.*
- *π' has weight zero, and for every prime $v|l$, π'_v is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.*
- *π'_{v_0} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.*

- *For every isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$, the image of the residual representation $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')}$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{l^b})$, up to conjugation, for some $b \geq a$.*
- *The symmetric $(l+r-1)^{th}$ power lifting of π exists if and only if the symmetric $(l+r-1)^{th}$ power lifting of π' exists.*

Proof. We use a trick inspired by Khare-Wintenberger's use of so-called 'good-dihedral' primes, in their proof of Serre's conjecture, cf. [KW09, Lemma 8.2]. Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the coefficient field of π. As in the proof of Proposition 5.2, we choose a prime $p > \max(2(l+r+1), \#\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{l^a}))$ split in $E(\sqrt{-1})$ and such that F and π are unramified above p. We fix an isomorphism $\iota_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$. After conjugating we can assume that the image of the residual representation $\overline{\rho} = \overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi)}$ is contained in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$; we assume also that p has been chosen so that the residual image contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Let K/F denote the maximal abelian extension of exponent 2 which is ramified only at primes of F where π is ramified. Let M/F denote the extension inside $\overline{F}$ cut out by $\mathbb{P}\overline{\rho}$, the projective representation associated to $\overline{\rho}$. Thus $\mathrm{Gal}(M/F)$ is isomorphic either to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, the index 2 simple subgroup. Let $L = M \cap F(\zeta_p)$. Then M and $F(\zeta_p)$ are linearly disjoint over L, and L/F is an extension of degree at most 2. Let v be an infinite place of F, and let $c_v \in G_F$ denote a complex conjugation at this place. Since $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, $\overline{\rho}(c_v) \in \mathrm{Gal}(M/L)$. By linear disjointness, we can therefore choose a prime u of F coprime to p at which $\overline{\rho}$ is unramified and such that $\mathbb{P}\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_u) = \mathbb{P}\overline{\rho}(c_v)$, up to conjugation, and such that u is split in L and $q_u \equiv -1 \bmod p$. We can moreover assume that u is split in K.

Applying [Gee11, Corollary 3.1.7] once more, we can find a RAESDC automorphic representation π' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\overline{r_{\iota_p}(\pi')} \cong \overline{\rho}$, such that the representations π'_{v_0} and π'_v for $v|l$ are each an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation, and such that π' is of weight zero and $r_{\iota_p}(\pi')$ is potentially diagonalizable, and moreover such that there is an isomorphism

$$r_{\iota_p}(\pi')|_{I_{F_u}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi^{q_u} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\psi : I_{F_u} \to \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times$ a character of order p. In particular, $r_{\iota_p}(\pi')|_{G_{F_u}}$ is irreducible, and induced from a character. Moreover, we can suppose that, away from u, π' is ramified only at those places of F where π is also ramified.

The representation π' satisfies the final point above. This is proved in exactly the same manner as the same point for the representation π' of Proposition 5.2. It remains to show that π' satisfies the penultimate bullet point. Fix an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$, and consider the residual representation $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')}$. It is irreducible, since its restriction to G_{F_u} is already irreducible, being induced from a character whose restriction to I_{F_u} has order $p \nmid q_u - 1$. Since the projective image of $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')}$ contains an element of order $p > 5$, either $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')}$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{l^b})$ for some $b > 1$, or $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')}$ is induced from a character. In the first case, by choice of p we obtain a conjugate with $b \geq a$.

It therefore remains to rule out the possibility that $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{K_0}^F \alpha$, for some quadratic extension K_0/F and some character $\alpha : G_{K_0} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l^\times$. The extension K_0/F is ramified only at those places where $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')}$ is also ramified, hence at the places dividing l, u, or where π is ramified. Since $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')}|_{G_{F_u}}$ is induced from a character of the unramified quadratic extension of F_u by construction, we see that K_0 is unramified at u, and hence $K_0 \subset K$. But u is split in K, hence in K_0, which implies that the representation $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')}|_{G_{F_u}}$ is a direct sum of two characters. This contradiction shows that $\overline{r_\iota(\pi')}$ must in fact have residual image containing $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{l^b})$, some $b \geq a$, and therefore concludes the proof. □

After replacing π by π' we may therefore suppose that π satisfies, in addition to the above 3 points, the following:

4. For every isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$, the image of the residual representation $\overline{r_\iota(\pi)}$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{l^b})$, up to conjugation, for some $b \geq a$.

We claim that π now satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1. Indeed, it remains to check only that π is ι-ordinary, for some choice of ι. This follows immediately from points 1 and 2 above, by [Ger, Lemma 5.1.5]. We deduce that the $(l+r-1)^{\text{th}}$ symmetric power lifting of π exists. This concludes the proof.

References

[AC89] James Arthur and Laurent Clozel. *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, volume 120 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

[BLGGT] Thomas Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, and Richard Taylor. Potential automorphy and change of weight. Preprint.

[BLGHT11] Tom Barnet-Lamb, David Geraghty, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor. A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 47(1):29–98, 2011.

[Car12] Ana Caraiani. Local-global compatibility and the action of monodromy on nearby cycles. *Duke Math. J.*, 161(12):2311–2413, 2012.

[CH] Gaëtan Chenevier and Michael Harris. Construction of automorphic Galois representations, II. To appear in Cambridge Math. Journal, vol. 1 (2013).

[CHT08] Laurent Clozel, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor. Automorphy for some l-adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (108):1–181, 2008. With Appendix A, summarizing unpublished work of Russ Mann, and Appendix B by Marie-France Vignéras.

[Clo90] Laurent Clozel. Motifs et formes automorphes: applications du principe de fonctorialité. In *Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988)*, volume 10 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 77–159. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

[CT] Laurent Clozel and Jack A. Thorne. Level raising and symmetric power functoriality, II. Preprint.

[Dim05] Mladen Dimitrov. Galois representations modulo p and cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 38(4):505–551, 2005.

[Gee11] Toby Gee. Automorphic lifts of prescribed types. *Math. Ann.*, 350(1):107–144, 2011.

[Ger] David Geraghty. Modularity lifting theorems for ordinary Galois representations. Preprint.

[GJ78] Stephen Gelbart and Hervé Jacquet. A relation between automorphic representations of GL(2) and GL(3). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 11(4):471–542, 1978.

[GK] Toby Gee and Mark Kisin. The Breuil-Mézard conjecture for potentially Barsotti-Tate representations. Preprint.

[Gue11] Lucio Guerberoff. Modularity lifting theorems for Galois representations of unitary type. *Compos. Math.*, 147(4):1022–1058, 2011.

[Gur] Robert Guralnick. Adequacy of representations of finite groups of Lie type. Preprint. Appendix to a paper by L. Dieulefait.

[Hen02] Guy Henniart. Une caractérisation de la correspondance de Langlands locale pour $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 130(4):587–602, 2002.

[HT01] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor. *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, volume 151 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich.

[Kim03] Henry H. Kim. Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(1):139–183 (electronic), 2003. With appendix 1 by Dinakar Ramakrishnan and appendix 2 by Kim and Peter Sarnak.

[KS02] Henry H. Kim and Freydoon Shahidi. Functorial products for $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_3$ and the symmetric cube for GL_2. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(3):837–893, 2002. With an appendix by Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart.

[KW09] Chandrashekhar Khare and Jean-Pierre Wintenberger. Serre's modularity conjecture. I. *Invent. Math.*, 178(3):485–504, 2009.

[Lab11] J.-P. Labesse. Changement de base CM et séries discrètes. In *On the stabilization of the trace formula*, volume 1 of *Stab. Trace Formula Shimura Var. Arith. Appl.*, pages 429–470. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[Mín11] Alberto Mínguez. Unramified representations of unitary groups. In *On the stabilization of the trace formula*, volume 1 of *Stab. Trace Formula Shimura Var. Arith. Appl.*, pages 389–410. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[Mok] Chung Pang Mok. Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups. Preprint.

[Ram00] Dinakar Ramakrishnan. Modularity of the Rankin-Selberg L-series, and multiplicity one for SL(2). *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 152(1):45–111, 2000.

[Rib84] Kenneth A. Ribet. Congruence relations between modular forms. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Warsaw, 1983)*, pages 503–514, Warsaw, 1984. PWN.

[Tat79] J. Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 3–26. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[Thoa] Jack A. Thorne. Automorphy lifting for residually reducible l-adic Galois representations. Preprint.

[Thob] Jack A. Thorne. Raising the level for GL_n. Preprint.

[Tho12] Jack A. Thorne. On the automorphy of l-adic Galois representations with small residual image. *Journal of the Inst. of Math. Jussieu*, 11(4):855–920, 2012. With an appendix by Robert Guralnick, Florian Herzig, Richard Taylor and Jack Thorne.

[Zel80] A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive $\mathfrak{p}$-adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 13(2):165–210, 1980.